


1 **ROCKARD J. DELGADILLO**, City Attorney (SBN125465x)  
2 **MICHAEL L. CLAESSENS**, Managing Asst. City Attorney  
3 **CORY M. BRENT**E, Supervising Assistant City Attorney  
4 **RENA M. SHAHANDEH**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 198072)  
5 **WENDY SHAPERO**, Deputy City Attorney (SBN 198739)  
200 North Main Street, 6th Floor, City Hall East  
Los Angeles, California 90012  
E-mail: wendy.shapero@lacity.org  
Telephone No.: (213) 978-7041 - Fax No.: (213) 978-8785



6 *Attorneys for Defendant,*  
7 **CITY OF LOS ANGELES**, a municipal corporation.

8  
9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 **MARIA SMITH and STEVEN**  
12 **SMITH,**  
13 *Plaintiffs,*

14 *vs.*

15 Los Angeles Police Department  
16 Detective \_\_\_\_\_ Velasco, in his  
17 professional and persona capacities,

18 Los Angeles Police Department  
19 Detective \_\_\_\_\_ Oakley, in his  
20 professional and personal capacities,

21 Los Angeles Police Department  
22 Detective \_\_\_\_\_ Aguayo, in  
23 his professional and personal  
24 capacities,

25 Los Angeles Police Department  
26 Lieutenant \_\_\_\_\_ Storaker,  
27 in his professional and personal  
28 capacities,

Los Angeles Police Department  
Officers, Does 1-50, in their  
professional and personal capacities,

Los Angeles Police Chief William  
Bratton, in his professional and  
personal capacities,

CONTINUED BELOW,

Case No. CV 06-5978 JWJ  
Honorable Judge Jeffrey W. Johnson

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT

1  
2 The Los Angeles Police Department,  
3 an agency of the City of Los Angeles,  
4 County of Los Angeles, State of  
California

5 The City of Los Angeles, County of  
6 Los Angeles, State of California, a  
municipal corporation

7 John or Jane Does 51 - 100

8 *Defendants.*

9  
10 The Motion for Summary Judgment by Defendant City of Los Angeles came on  
11 regularly for hearing on April 10, 2008 before the Honorable Jeffrey W. Johnson.  
12 Plaintiffs were represented by Mark A. Massey, Esq.. Defendant City of Los Angeles  
13 was represented by Deputy City Attorneys Wendy Shapero and Rena Shahandeh.

14 After considering the moving, opposing, and reply papers, and having heard the  
15 arguments of counsel, the Court issued its Order Granting Defendant's Motion for  
16 Summary Judgment in Part and dismissed with prejudice the federal claims (claims one  
17 through five) against the City of Los Angeles. The Court further ordered claims one  
18 through five dismissed against the unserved defendant officers without prejudice due to  
19 plaintiffs' failure to prosecute. Finally, the Court declined to exercise supplemental  
20 jurisdiction over the state causes of action (claims six through ten) and dismissed those  
21 claims against all defendants without prejudice. A copy of the Order is attached to this  
22 judgment and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

23  
24 **JUDGMENT**

25  
26 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:**

- 27 1. That Judgment be, and hereby is entered in favor of the defendants and  
28


1 against the plaintiffs;

2 2. That the plaintiffs shall take nothing; and

3 3. That defendant City of Los Angeles recover its costs of suit herein.

4  
5  
6 DATED:

May 23, 2008

  
Honorable Jeffrey W. Johnson  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE